

# Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

George Louthan

April 6, 2010

## Overview and Motivation

### Overview

Titles

Section 404

### Consequences for IT

Why IT Is Covered

Section 404 Risk Assessment

### Conclusion

General Summary

IT Summary

### Constitutional Challenge

### References

# Overview and Motivation

- ▶ Also called Sarbox or SOX
- ▶ Corporate reforms to address dishonest financial practices
- ▶ Applies only to public corporations
- ▶ Motivated by highly publicized scandals involving Enron, WorldCom, and others
  - ▶ Corporate Looting and Theft
  - ▶ Securities and Accounting Fraud
  - ▶ Auditing Failures
- ▶ 11 titles, emphasizing auditing and executive accountability
- ▶ Why we care: makes requirements for “internal controls” to prevent “material misstatements”

## Titles 1-4

**PCAOB** Establishes the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, which has SOX-backed regulatory authority

**Auditor independence** Regards approval requirements and conflict of interest limitation for auditors. For example, auditing companies may not provide other services for audit clients.

**Corporate Responsibility\*** Senior executives are personally responsible for correctness of financial reports

**Enhanced Financial Disclosures\*** New, more detailed financial reporting requirements; requires “internal controls” for assuring the accuracy of financial reports, and audits thereof

## Titles 5-8

- Analyst Conflicts of Interest** Code of conduct for securities analysts, including conflict of interest disclosure
- Commission Resources and Authority** SEC has the authority to govern brokers, advisors, and dealers in securities
- Studies and Reports** Requires SEC and Comptroller General to conduct various studies and produce reports
- Corporate and Criminal Fraud Accountability** Criminal penalties for interference with financial records, and whistle-blower protections

## Titles 10-11

**White Collar Crime Penalty Enhancement** Failure to certify corporate financial reports is a criminal offense; new, stronger sentencing guidelines (no more resort prison)

**Corporate Tax Returns** CEO must sign the company's tax return

**Corporate Fraud Accountability** New authorities for SEC to freeze "unusual" transactions, criminalization of corporate records tampering

## Section 404

- ▶ Title 4, Section 404 is the primary section associated with risk management
- ▶ Public companies were already required to make annual reports to the SEC
- ▶ Section 404 requires this to include an “internal control report”, which:
  - ▶ states the responsibility of management for establishing and maintaining an adequate internal control structure
  - ▶ contains an assessment of the effectiveness of the internal control structure
- ▶ The result of this, section 302, and associated regulation is that organizations and auditors must conduct “Sarbanes-Oxley 404 top-down risk assessments”

## SOX Requirements vs PCAOB Requirements

- ▶ Sarbanes-Oxley itself does not directly create any IT requirements
- ▶ PCAOB (Public Company Accounting Oversight Board), however, specifically included IT controls as “internal controls”
- ▶ This decisions means IT security controls and audits thereof are required by SOX (Section 404)



## Section 404 Risk Assessment

- ▶ Top-down Risk Assessment specified by PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 5 (Effective Nov, 2007)
- ▶ Focuses on the risk of “material misstatement to the financial statements and related disclosures”.

## Section 404 Risk Assessment

- ▶ Explicitly mentioned IT risks for material misstatement:
  - ▶ Reliance on systems or programs that are inaccurately processing data, processing inaccurate data, or both.
  - ▶ Unauthorized access to data that may result in destruction of data or improper changes to data, including the recording of unauthorized or nonexistent transactions or inaccurate recording of transactions.
  - ▶ Unauthorized changes to data in master files.
  - ▶ Unauthorized changes to systems or programs.
  - ▶ Failure to make necessary changes to systems or programs.
  - ▶ Inappropriate manual intervention.
  - ▶ Potential loss of data.

## Is IT audit needed?

- ▶ PCAOB: “The identification of risks and controls within IT is not a separate evaluation. Instead, it is an integral part of the top-down approach.”
- ▶ Need for specialized IT audit depends upon (AU319):
  - ▶ Complexity of the entity’s systems
  - ▶ Significance of changes made to existing systems
  - ▶ Extent of data sharing
  - ▶ Extent of entity’s participation in electronic commerce
  - ▶ Use of emerging technologies
  - ▶ Significance of electronic-only evidence

## General Requirements

- ▶ SOX requires documentation and audit of “internal controls”
- ▶ Section 404 and PCAOB require periodic “top-down risk assessments”
- ▶ Management assesses controls, and auditors audit that assessment
- ▶ Risk assessments and controls specifically focused on correctness of financial statements
- ▶ IT is only one small part of Sarbanes-Oxley

## IT Requirements

- ▶ IT-related SOX assessment focused specifically upon risk of material misstatements on financial documents (sometimes called MMR)
- ▶ Only one part of an overarching, top-down risk assessment
- ▶ Frequently tied to COBIT or other standards due to generality or vagueness
- ▶ SOX IT requirements defined primarily by SEC and PCAOB, not Congress

## Side Note: Supreme Court Challenge

- ▶ SOX is currently under Constitutional challenge in *Free Enterprise Fund v. Public Company Accounting Oversight Board*
- ▶ Separation of Powers challenging the appointment of PCAOB members by the SEC
- ▶ Oral arguments were December 7, 2009; no decision yet.

## References

- ▶ SANS Sarbanes-Oxley Whitepaper. <http://tinyurl.com/ybexnv7>
- ▶ Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002, hosted by University of Cincinnati College of Law. <http://tinyurl.com/k8qqv>
- ▶ Serena Software. The Impact of Sarbanes-Oxley on IT and Corporate Governance. <http://tinyurl.com/ydgzy42>
- ▶ PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 5. <http://tinyurl.com/ye5sprj>
- ▶ PCAOB AU 319. <http://tinyurl.com/yajyvst>
- ▶ On the Docket: Free Enterprise Fund and Beckstead and Watts v. Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. <http://tinyurl.com/yavkxz7>